# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE MATTER OF:	)	
	)	
Application of Duke Energy Progress,	)	<b>DOCKET NO. 2018-318-E</b>
LLC for Adjustments in Electric Rate	)	
Schedules and Tariffs and Request for	)	
an Accounting Order	)	

**Direct Exhibits** 

of

**BILLIE S. LACONTE** 

On Behalf of

**Nucor Steel - South Carolina** 

March 4, 2019



# **EXHIBIT LIST**

LaConte Exhibits	Description
1	Impact of Modified EDIT Rider
2	Impact of Accumulated Depreciation Walk Forward
3	Recommended Coal Ash Deferred Costs
4	Recommended Harris COLA Deferred Costs Amortization Term
5	Recommended NRC Requirements – Fukushima and Cybersecurity Deferred Costs
6	Impact of Lowering ROE to Industry Average
7	Equity Ratios for A- Rated Utilities
8	Impact of Reducing Common Equity Ratio



Adjusted

#### **DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC**

Impact of Modified EDIT Rider
Test Year Ending December 31, 2017
South Carolina Retail (\$000)

#### Without DERP, Shorten Unprotected PP&E Amortization, Shorten Deferred Revenue Amortization

			Federal ED	DIT				for Return,	
			<u>Unp</u>	rotected	Deferred			Taxes and	
Line	<u>Description</u>	<u>Protected</u>	PP&E Related	Non PP&E Related	Revenue	NC EDIT	<u>Total</u>	PSC Fee	
1	Regulatory Liability Including Gross Up updated 12/31/2018	(152,438)	(58,254)	867	-	(5,382)	(215,207)		
2	Estimated Transition of Protected to Unprotected Regulatory Liability during 2018	5,640	-	(5,640)	-	-	-		
3	Deferred Revenue for Federal Tax Rate Change as of 12/31/2018	-	-	-	(14,960)	-	(14,960)		
4	DERP Deferral Balance for Solar Rebate as of 9/30/2018	-	-	-	-	-	-		
5	Other Projected Changes in Regulatory Tax Liability		-	-	-	4,242	4,242	•	
6	Regulatory Libiability for Federal Tax Change Including Gross Up for Year 1	(\$146,798)	(\$58,254)	(\$4,773)	(\$14,960)	(\$1,140)	(\$225,925)		
7	Annual Amortization Period	3.70%	20.00%	20.00%	50.00%	100.00%	12.39%		
8	Annual Amortization Amount	(\$5,432)	(\$11,651)	(\$955)	(\$7,480)	(\$1,140)	(\$26,656)	(\$26,591)	
9	Years of Rider Amortization	27	5	5	2	1			

Source:

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Laura Bateman, Updated Bateman Exhibit 3, page 1 of 4.

#### **DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS. LLC**

# Impact of Accumulated Depreciation Walk Forward Test Year Ending December 31, 2017 South Carolina Retail (\$000)

Line	Function	SC Retail 12/31/2017 Plant Balance	Adjusted Balance	PTY Net Plant Change	PTY Gross Adds	Other Adj	Adjusted Balance w/o PTYA	2018 Retirements	Depreciation Rates	Depreciation Expense Not included at 12/31/2018
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		(5)		(6)	(7)
1	Production Plant	\$1,515,298	\$1,613,056	\$97,758	\$97,758	\$0	\$1,515,298	(\$38,837)	3.37%	\$50,411
2	Transmission Plant	\$242,011	\$259,465	\$17,453	\$17,510	(\$57)	\$241,955	(\$972)	1.91%	\$4,612
3	Distribution Plant	\$774,976	\$798,034	\$23,058	\$43,601	(\$20,543)	\$754,433	\$13,235	2.43%	\$18,494
4	General Plant	\$71,373	\$44,350	(\$27,023)	\$8,246	(\$35,269)	\$36,104	(\$13,174)	4.25%	\$1,254
5	Intangible Plant	\$51,254	\$50,398	(\$856)	\$2,520	(\$3,376)	\$47,878	(\$5)	20.00%	\$9,575
6	Total	\$2,654,913	\$2,765,303	\$110,391	\$169,635	(\$59,244)	\$2,595,668	(\$39,752)		\$84,346
					Impact to Accur	mulated Depr	reciation			
				-	Additional Depr					(\$84,346)
					Retirements	s.I				\$39,752 \$48,406
					Cost of Remova Salvage	<b>1</b> 1				\$18,106 (\$5,409)
					Adjustment to A	ccumulated	Depreciation		-	(\$31,898)
				I	Pretax ROR					9.36%
				1	Revenue Requ	irement Adj	ustment		-	(\$2,985)

Sources: Bateman Exhibit 1, responses to ORS AIR 1-6 and Nucor 3.

### DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS. LLC Recommended Coal Ash Deferred Costs Test Year Ending December 31, 2017

SC Retail (\$000)

			As of Dec. 2017			
Line	Description	Proposed	Recommended	Difference	As of Dec. 2018	Difference
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	Balance for Amortization	\$46,487	\$24,013	\$22,474	\$44,493	\$1,994
2	Amortization Period in years	5	20		20	
3	Amortization Expense	\$9,297	\$1,201	(\$8,097)	\$2,225	(\$7,073)
4	Statutory tax rate	24.95%	24.95%		24.95%	
5	Impact to income taxes	(\$2,320)	(\$300)	\$2,020	(\$555)	\$1,765
6	Net Expense	\$6,978	\$901	(\$6,077)	\$1,670	(\$5,308)
7	Projected Impact to Rate Base	\$27,911	\$17,120	(\$10,790)	\$31,722	\$3,811
8	Cost of Capital	9.36%	9.36%		9.36%	
9	Return	\$2,611	\$1,602	(\$1,010)	\$2,968	\$357
10	Revenue Requirement	\$9,589	\$2,503	(\$7,086)	\$4,638	(\$4,951)

Source: Response to ORS Audit 5-2 (Supplemental)

### **DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS. LLC**

# Recommended Harris COLA Deferred Costs Amortization Term Test Year Ending December 31, 2017 South Carolina Retail (\$000)

			SC Retail (\$000)	
Line	Description	Proposed	Recommended	Difference
		(1)	(2)	(3)
1	Harris COLA Balance for recovery	\$6,715	\$6,715	
2	Amortization Period in years	5	48	
3	Amortization Expense	\$1,343	\$140	(\$1,203)
4	Statutory tax rate	24.95%	24.95%	
5	Impact to income taxes	(\$335)	(\$35)	\$300
6	Revenue Requirement	\$1.008	\$105	(\$903)

Source: DEP Response to ORS 1st and Continuing Audit Request, Item 1-6.
This is not included in rate base.
Harris plant remaining life = 28 years; (2046-2018)

Harris plant remaining life = 28 years; (2046-2018)

Life Extension
Total RL

28.0
48.0

#### **DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS. LLC**

# Recommended NRC Requirements Fukushima and Cybersecurity Deferred Costs <u>Amortization Term and Cost of Capital</u>

			SC Retail (\$000)	
Line	Description	Proposed	Recommended	Difference
	Fukishima / Cybersecurity	(1)	(2)	(3)
1	Balance for recovery	\$5,529	\$4,975	
2	Amortization Period in years	5	38	
3	Amortization Expense	\$1,106	\$131	(\$975)
4	Statutory tax rate	24.95%	24.95%	
5	Impact to income taxes	(\$276)	(\$33)	\$243
6	Net Expense	\$830	\$98	(\$732)
7	Projected Regulatory Asset	\$4,194	\$4,746	\$0
8	Cost of Capital	9.36%	9.36%	
9	Return	\$392	\$444	\$52
10	Revenue Requirement	\$1,222	\$542	(\$680)

Source: SC-1702

This is not included in rate base.

Nuclear average remaining life at 12/31/2016 is 19.7 years

At 12/31/2018 RL is 17.7 years. 18.0
Proposed Life Extension 20.0
Total RL 38.0

# **DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC**

# Impact of Lowering ROE to Industry Average Test Year Ending December 31, 2017 South Carolina Retail (\$000)

Proposed ROE for the Test Year Ending December 2017  Revised ROE for the Test Year Ending December 2017
---

			,	Weighted				,	Weighted	
Line		<u>Ratio</u>	Cost	Cost	Pre-tax		<u>Ratio</u>	Cost	Cost	Pre-tax
1 2	Debt Equity	47.00% 53.00% 100.00%	4.06% 10.50%	1.91% <u>5.57%</u> 7.47%	1.91% <u>7.45%</u> 9.35%	Debt Equity	47.00% <u>53.00%</u> 100.00%	4.06% 9.68%	1.91% <u>5.13%</u> 7.04%	1.91% <u>6.86%</u> 8.77%
3	Revenue convers			1.3378	0.0070		100.0070		7.0470	0.7776
4	Rate Base		3	\$1,512,603		Impact of Ch	ange in ROE			(\$8,795)

Sources:

Bateman Exhibit 1, page 2, Supplemental

# **DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS**

Equity Ratios for A- Rated Utilities Year Ending December 31, 2017

		Financ	cial	
	Corp. LT			
	Rating	Common Eq	uity/ Book Ca	pital (%)
Utility	S&P	2017Y	2016Y	2015Y
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	A-	44.13	43.83	46.33
Appalachian Power	A-	47.48	46.29	45.53
Indiana Michigan Power Company	A-	42.53	44.07	46.35
Kentucky Power	A-	43.25	43.40	42.75
Ohio Power	A-	55.94	54.38	47.78
Public Service Company of Oklahoma	A-	45.63	47.31	46.27
Southwestern Electric Power Company	A-	45.74	44.55	47.17
Baltimore Gas and Electric Company	A-	54.20	52.09	51.70
Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation	A-	51.31	50.75	50.37
Central Maine Power Company	A-	63.50	61.98	59.94
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.	A-	48.11	48.27	47.79
Duke Energy Corporation	A-	43.40	44.88	48.29
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	A-	60.14	61.19	62.07
Duke Energy Carolinas	A-	52.68	52.87	58.11
Duke Energy Florida	A-	44.19	43.28	50.21
Duke Energy Indiana	A-	51.10	51.79	50.45
Duke Energy Kentucky	A-	43.25	43.40	42.75
Duke Energy Progress	A-	51.13	51.21	51.20
Interstate Power and Light Company	A-	49.05	48.85	49.23
Florida Power and Light	A-	55.64	61.25	60.45
Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.	A-	46.80	46.74	45.35
Arizona Public Service Company	A-	52.78	53.35	54.99
Kentucky Utilities	A-	58.59	58.65	58.06
Louisville Gas and Electric	A-	56.98	58.09	56.64
Public Service Company of Colorado	A-	55.85	54.81	55.41
Georgia Power Company	A-	49.69	50.03	49.92
Gulf Power Company	A-	53.51	48.26	45.98
Mississippi Power Company	A-	39.01	48.63	42.84
Southwestern Public Service Company	A-	53.79	53.40	53.78
Wisconsin Electric Power Company	A-	36.97	38.19	38.60
Northern States Power Company	A-	52.08	52.08	52.26
Average Excluding Duke Energy Progress		49.37	49.58	49.42
Duke Energy Progress	A-	51.13	51.21	51.20

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence (February 13, 2019)

# **DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC**

#### **South Carolina Rate Case**

#### Impact of Reducing Common Equity Ratio to Equal Average A- Rated Utility Common Equity Ratio

Proposed Capital Structure Test Year December 2017 Revised Capital Structure Test Year December 2017

			,	Weighted				V	Veighted	
<u>Line</u>		<u>Ratio</u>	Cost	Cost	Pre-tax		<u>Ratio</u>	Cost	Cost	Pre-tax
1	Debt	47.00%	4.06%	1.91%	1.91%	Debt	50.63%	4.06%	2.06%	2.06%
2	Equity	<u>53.00%</u>	10.50%	<u>5.57%</u>	<u>7.45%</u>	Equity	<u>49.37%</u>	10.50%	<u>5.18%</u>	6.94%
		100.00%		7.47%	9.35%		100.00%		7.24%	8.99%
3	Revenue conve	ersion factor			1.3378					
4	Rate Base				\$1,512,603	Impact of Ch	ange in Common	Equity Ration	o [	(\$5,484)

Sources:

Bateman Exhibit 1, page 2, Supplemental